

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,862	03/25/2002	Georg Matheis	1884	6217
7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road			DEAK, LESLIE R	
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b></b>			3762	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 A A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/019,862	MATHEIS, GEORG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie R. Deak	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the period for reply will, by state than the maximum state of t	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commone (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2004.					
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.					
, —						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international state.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nary (PTO-413) ill Date nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,464,388 to Merte et al in view of US 5,702,358 to Witherspoon et al. Merte discloses a variable ratio cardioplegia device with a mixing system 106 and a displacement pump 104 that is connected to a recirculation line 126 for recirculation of the cardioplegia mixture. The device includes a blood source and a cardioplegia source 114 and blood source 122 that are mixed via valves and solenoids that are operated by means of a controller 148 that controls the operation of the solenoids to provide a deteremined fluid mixture. (See FIG 5, column 5.) Merte fails to disclose that the blood and cardioplegia supply is controlled by chamber wheels or pumps. Witherspoon discloses a variable ratio cardioplegia delivery device with circular roller pumps disposed on each supply tube, allowing the ratio of blood to cardioplegia solution to be controlled according to operator desire via pressure or flow rate measurements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the pinch valves of the Merte device with the roller pumps of the Witherspoon device so that the controller may control the flow rate of the fluids to be combined, as taught by Witherspoon.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,862 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 13 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., passive mixing wheels) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1 December 2004

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel Ahr